



## What is a Transaction or Tx?

A transaction is a program or application written in some programming language that includes reading and modifying a database.

- An SQL query
- An SQL Insert/delete/update
- Applications with embedded SQL
- Stored procedures
- User defined functions
- Your registration on MyMav is a Tx
- ...

No restriction on the size of a Tx

No synchronization primitives are used for writing applications! Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy













# Requirements of TM Systems

High Performance – measured in transactions per second (TPS); dollars per transactions (\$/tx) High Availability – ability to provide access to users all the time (24 x 7 operation) Correctness – ability to provide correct results even in the face of failures (of any kind) Support various levels (degrees) of consistency For replicated databases, mutual consistency should also be maintained

### Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy



8



Needed to accommodate various kinds of failures

- logical errors (abort by the transaction/application)
- system errors (abort by the system due to deadlock)
- system crashes: losing the contents of volatile storage
- Power failures
- loss of non-volatile storage ( or media failure) ??
- Others (disasters) Mitigation: Mirroring, hot standby!

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

9

## Terminology

Both concurrency control and recovery are applied to transactions - an arbitrary collection of database operations (read/write operations) specified by an application.

A transaction is an execution of a program that accesses a shared database

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

10

# *The goal of Concurrency control and Recovery*

is to ensure that transactions execute <u>atomically</u>, meaning that:

- each transaction accesses shared data <u>without</u> <u>interfering</u> with other transactions (isolation), and
- if a transaction terminates normally, then all its effects are made <u>permanent</u>; otherwise it has no effect at all.

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy



Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

12

## Operations on a DB

Read, Write, Commit, Abort

- Each transaction is assumed to be self contained; i.e., there is no direct communication with other transactions. However, transactions do communicate indirectly by manipulating shared data in the database.
- Executing a transaction's commit constitutes a guarantee by the DBMS that it will not abort that transaction and that the transaction's effects will survive subsequent failures of the system

Txs are not interactive

Txs are independent

- no dependency between transactions
- However, DB state may be Tx order dependent! Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

13

## Operations contd.

When a transaction T aborts (by its own choice or done by the system), the system must wipe out all of its effects; there are two kinds of effects:

- i) On data: That is, values that T wrote in the database and
- ii) On other transactions. That is, transactions (if any) that read values written by T.
- Both (i) and (ii) should be dealt with
- ii) may, in turn, cause other transactions to be aborted leading to a phenomenon termed cascading aborts.

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

14

# Concurrency ProblemsArise due to interleaved execution of<br/>transactions.If transactions are executed sequentially one<br/>after another (i.e., serially), then there is no<br/>problem as each transaction is assumed to<br/>preserve the consistency of the database.Clearly understand the difference between<br/>9 Serial/sequential executions and<br/>1 Serialized or serializable (not serial, but behaves<br/>like serial) execution!

<i>Example:</i> Consider T1 and T2.	Assume a = 1000; b=1000 Correctness: a+b should be 2000 at the end of execution of execution of T1 and T2 (T1 followed by T2 or T2 followed by T1 or interleaved!)		
T1: read(a) a:=a-50 write(a) read(b) b:= b+50 write(b)	T2: read(a) temp = $a*0.1$ a = a-temp write (a) read (b) b = b+temp write (b)		
Transfers 50 from account a to account b	Transfers 1% from account a to account b		
Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy	16		

Shedule	1: T1 followed	by T2
read(a) a:=a-50 write(A read(b) b:= b+5( write(b)	)	Is this correct? If so why? a+b is 2000
a = 855	read(a) temp = $a*0.1$ a = a-temp write (a) read (b) b = b+temp write (b)	This is a serial execution (schedule) !! Is this a serializable execution
	b = 1145	(schedule) ?
Database Management System	s, S. Chakravarthy	17





















Α	Comment	on Deg	ree of C	Consistency
---	---------	--------	----------	-------------

All DBMSs support all the 4 degrees and you can indicate degree with each  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Tx}}$ 

It is felt that supporting degree 3 which is needed for some applications (e.g., banking, airline reservation, payroll) is not needed for all applications

NoSQL DBMSs trade of ACID properties with CAP (consistency, availability, and partitioning) functionality

*Eventual consistency (different from mutual consistency) is supported for partitions!* 

Recovery may also be done in a less stringent manner! As fault tolerance!

ase Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

29

# Serializability

One way to avoid interference is to <u>NOT</u> allow transactions to be interleaved at all. An execution in which no two transactions are interleaved is called **serial** or **sequential** 

More precisely, an execution is called serial, if, for every pair of transactions, <u>all</u> of the operations of one transaction execute before <u>any</u> of the operations of the other

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

30

# Serializability contd.

A serial execution provides <u>atomic</u> (all or nothing) processing of transactions (assuming recovery) Serial executions <u>are correct</u> by definition because each transaction individually is correct (by assumption) and transactions that execute serially cannot interfere with each other. Not all serial executions produce the same effect on

the database state

e.g. (i) T1 followed by T2 and (ii) T2 followed by T1 (see earlier example)

- T1, T2 gave a=855, b = 1145; T2, T1 gave a=850, b=1150!

Although (i) and (ii) produce different database states, both are equally correct and acceptable

- This is different from expert systems/AI where conflict resolution strategies are used to choose the order of evaluation!

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

31















Definition: A schedule for a set of transactions {T1, T2, ..., Tn} is a sequence of actions constructed by merging the actions of T1, T2, ..., Tn while respecting the order of the actions making up each transaction T1: a11, a12 T2: a21, a22 S1 = a11, a21, a22, a12 a correct schedule (may or may not be a serializable schedule) S2 = a12, a21, a22, a11 Not a correct schedule We will not consider incorrect schedules! (Why?)





Serial Schedule: A schedule of a set of transactions {T1,T2,...,Tn} is a serial schedule if there exists a permutation  $\pi$  of {1,2,...,n} such that S =  $\langle T_{\pi(1)}, T_{\pi(2)}, ..., T_{\pi(n)} \rangle$ - How many serial schedules are there for n transactions? Serializable schedule: A schedule of a set of transactions T1,T2, ..., Tn is serializable if it yields exactly the same results (database state) as a serial schedule of {T1, T2, ..., Tn}. - Is this number more than the # of serial schedules?







## Selializability flavors

Serializability: only says that the execution should be equivalent to some serial execution. That is, gives the same result (actually DB state) as that of some serial schedule. <u>An abstraction!</u> Conflict serializability is based on the notion of conflicting operations! A read operation conflicts with write (on the same object) and a write conflicts with both read and write (on the same object). For practical use!

View seriallizability: based on what each Tx reads and writes (sees) in a schedule. Turns out to be stronger than conflict serializability and weaker than serializability. Not used in industry!

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

41



42

41







A schedule is conflict serializable if it is conflict equivalent to some serial schedule.

 Conflict equivalent means that all pairs of conflicting operations appear in the same order in both schedules!

A schedule is view serializable if it is view equivalent to some serial schedule. That is, satisfies 3 conditions of view serializability

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

45



46

45













## Histories and serialization graph

































To ensure correctness in the presence of failures the schedule must produce executions that are not only serializable but also recoverable. Other desirable features are:

- preventing cascading aborts
- loss of before images

Like serializability, recoverability can be conveniently formulated in terms of histories.







Recoverable Schedules	<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>			
	R(A)				
Abort of T1 requires abort of T2	W(A)				
- But T2 has already committed!		R(A)			
A <u>recoverable</u> schedule is one in		W(A)			
which this cannot happen.		commit			
What is the easiest way to avoid this?	abort				
<ul> <li>i.e., a Xact commits only after all the Xacts it "depends on" (i.e., it reads from or overwrites) commit.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>ACA implies Recoverable (but not vice-versa!).</li> </ul>					
Real systems typically ensure that only recoverable schedules arise (through locking).					
Histories allow us to do serialization (conflict), ACA, and recoverability using one formalism!					
atabase Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy		64			





65

## *Recoverable and ACA Histories*

A history is **recoverable** if each transaction commits after the commit of all transactions (other than itself) from which it read.

A history H is called Recoverable (RC) if, whenever Ti reads from Tj (i  $\neq$  j) in H and Ci  $\in$ H, Cj < Ci (or Ci waits till Cj commits).

A history H avoids cascading aborts (ACA) if, whenever Ti reads x from Tj (i ≠ j), Cj < ri[x].</li>
- i.e., transactions may read only those values that are written by committed transactions or by itself.
Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

66

## Strict Histories

A history H is strict (ST) if whenever wj[x] < Oi[x] ( $i \neq j$ ), either  $a_j < Oi[x]$  or  $c_j < Oi[x]$ , where Oi[x] is ri[x] or wi[x].

That is, no data item may be read or overwritten until the transaction that previously written into it (note: not read by it) terminates, either by aborting or committing.

- This is much stronger than the read by a Tx definition!

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy

ExamplesT1 = w1[x] w1[y] w1[z] C1T2 = r2[u] w2[x] r2[y] w2[y] C2H7 = w1[x] w1[y] r2[u] w2[x] r2[y] w2[y] C2 w1[z] C1H8 = w1[x] w1[y] r2[u] w2[x] r2[y] w2[y] w1[z] C1 C2H9 = w1[x] w1[y] r2[u] w2[x] w1[z] C1 r2[y] w2[y] C2H10 = w1[x] w1[y] r2[u] w1[z] C1 W2[x] r2[y] w2[y] C2H11 = w1[x] r2[y] w1[y] r2[u] w1[z] C1 W2[x] w2[y] C2 serializable?H7 is not RC: T2 reads y from T1 but C2 < C1. Also not ACA</td>H8 is RC: T2 commits after T1 from which it read,but not ACA: T2 reads y from T1 before T1 is committed.H9 is ACA but not ST because T2 overwrites the value written into x by T1 before the latter terminates

68

67

H10 is ST

Database Management Systems, S. Chakravarthy





















